

New Features of the Morphotropic Phase Boundary in the $\text{PbZr}_{1-x}\text{Ti}_x\text{O}_3$ System

B. Noheda, J. A. Gonzalo

Universidad Autonoma de Madrid, Cantoblanco, 28049-Madrid, Spain

A. Caballero, C. Moure

Instituto de Ceramica y Vidrio, CSIC, Arganda, 28500- Madrid, Spain

D.E. Cox, G. Shirane

Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, NY 11973-5000, USA

(July 20, 1999)

Recently a new monoclinic phase in the $\text{PbZr}_{1-x}\text{Ti}_x\text{O}_3$ ceramic system has been reported by Noheda et al. [1] for the composition $x=0.48$. In the present work, samples with Ti contents of $x=0.47$ and 0.50 , which are both tetragonal below their Curie points, have been investigated. In the sample with $x=0.50$, the tetragonal phase was found to transform to a monoclinic phase at about 200 K as the temperature was lowered. The sample with $x=0.47$ showed a complicated region of phase coexistence between ~ 440 -320 K, becoming rhombohedral at around 300 K. No further symmetry change was found down to 20 K. Dielectric measurements for these two samples are also reported. On the basis of these results, a preliminary phase diagram is presented. Optimum compositional homogeneity is needed to properly characterize the new monoclinic region.

I. INTRODUCTION

The basic features of the $\text{PbZr}_{1-x}\text{Ti}_x\text{O}_3$ (PZT) phase diagram were determined in the 1950's [2]. The ceramic PZT system has the cubic perovskite ABO_3 structure at high temperatures. On lowering the temperature the materials undergo a phase transition to a ferroelectric phase for all compositions except those close to pure PbZrO_3 , where they become antiferroelectric. The ferroelectric region is divided in two phases with different symmetries by a morphotropic phase boundary (MPB), nearly vertical in temperature, occurring at a composition close to $x=0.47$. The Ti-rich region has tetragonal symmetry (F_T , space group P4mm) and the Zr-rich region has rhombohedral symmetry (F_R). The latter is divided into high-temperature ($F_{R(HT)}$, space group R3c) and low-temperature ($F_{R(LT)}$, space group R3m) zones respectively [3] [4] [5].

Most of the studies on PZT have been performed for compositions around the MPB, motivated both by the interesting physical properties and the technologically-useful applications, such as high electromechanical coupling factors and permittivities, exhibited by PZT at this boundary [6]. Due to compositional fluctuations, the MPB often appears as an ill-defined region of phase coexistence, instead of a well-defined boundary, whose size depends of the processing conditions [7] [8] [9]. This fact has for many years hindered a detailed interpretation of the nature of the $F_R - F_T$ phase transition and the MPB itself. In order to explore the MPB in more detail, we have embarked upon a systematic structural study using high-resolution synchrotron x-ray powder diffraction techniques and dielectric measurements to characterize the samples.

A recent unexpected result obtained from high-

resolution x-ray measurements on a sample of high compositional homogeneity with $x=0.48$, was the discovery of a ferroelectric phase with monoclinic symmetry below approximately 250 K [1]. The monoclinic unit cell is such that a_m and b_m lie along the tetragonal $[\bar{1}\bar{1}0]$ and $[1\bar{1}0]$ directions ($a_m \approx b_m \approx a_t\sqrt{2}$), and c_m is close to the $[001]$ axis ($c_m \approx c_t$). The temperature dependence of the monoclinic angle β , the angle between a_m and c_m , corresponds to the evolution of the order parameter for the tetragonal-monoclinic ($F_T - F_M$) phase transition. Two other compositions prepared under slightly different conditions with $x=0.47$ and 0.50 were also studied at that time, and in the present paper we report results for these materials and propose a preliminary modification of the PZT phase diagram around the MPB.

II. EXPERIMENTAL

Two different compositions of PZT with Ti contents of 0.47 and 0.50 were prepared by a solid-state reaction from PbO_2 , ZrO_2 and Nb-free TiO_2 with chemical purities better than 99.9%. The mixed powders were calcined at 790°C , remilled, isostatically pressed at 200 Mpa, and sintered at 1200°C for 2h, with heating and cooling rates of $3^\circ\text{C}/\text{min}$. To minimize the volatilization of lead oxide, alumina crucibles with tightly-fitting covers were used, and a mixture of $\text{PbZrO}_3 + 5 \text{ wt\% } \text{ZrO}_2$ was used as a lead source in the crucible. The densities measured by the liquid displacement method were $\geq 98\%$ of the theoretical values.

High-resolution synchrotron x-ray powder diffraction measurements were made at beam line X7A at the Brookhaven National Synchrotron Light Source. A $\text{Ge}(111)$ double-crystal monochromator was used in com-

FIG. 2. $(111)_c$ and $(220)_c$ pseudo-cubic reflections at different temperatures for $\text{PbZr}_{0.50}\text{Ti}_{0.50}\text{O}_3$.

Fig. 2 shows that the monoclinic phase is found to exist for $x= 0.50$ below room temperature. From peak fits based on a pseudo-Voigt peak function, at 20 K the $(111)_c$ pseudocubic reflection is found to consist of three different peaks corresponding to the monoclinic $(\bar{2}01)$, (021) and (201) reflections, while the pseudocubic $(220)_c$ is split into four peaks corresponding to the $(\bar{2}22)$, (222) , (400) and (040) monoclinic reflections. The last two are fairly close to each other, indicating that the difference between a_m and b_m is quite small. As the temperature increases the monoclinic splitting becomes less evident.

FIG. 4. $(111)_c$ and $(200)_c$ pseudo-cubic reflections at different temperatures for $\text{PbZr}_{0.53}\text{Ti}_{0.47}\text{O}_3$. Note the improved resolution compared to the laboratory x-ray data reported by Mishra et al.

This could simply reflect the coexistence of rhombohedral and tetragonal phases accompanied by a considerable amount of internal strain, but it is certainly not possible to rule out the formation of small local regions of the monoclinic phase. For T above ~ 450 K, the tetragonal phase can be clearly identified although there is still some residual diffuse scattering in the vicinity of rhombohedral (200) . Finally, at $T \approx 665$ K, the cubic phase appears. The temperature evolution of the lattice parameters is shown in Fig. 5. We note that the peak profiles in the cubic region are about twice as broad as those found for the $x = 0.48$ sample [1], indicative of a smaller crystallite size and wider range of compositional inhomogeneity. This is probably associated with the slightly different sintering temperatures used for the preparation of the samples, 1200 and 1250°C respectively.

FIG. 6. Inverse of the dielectric permittivity vs. temperature for three different frequencies for $\text{PbZr}_{0.50}\text{Ti}_{0.50}\text{O}_3$.

This work clearly demonstrates the need for both excellent compositional homogeneity and high instrumental resolution for the determination of the features of the PZT phase diagram around the MPB. Further work along these lines is in progress.

IV. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank L.E. Cross and R. Guo for their stimulating discussions. Support by NATO (R.C.G.970037), the Spanish CICyT (PB96-0037) and the U.S. Department of Energy (contract No. DE-AC02-98CH10886) is also acknowledged.

FIG. 7. Inverse of the dielectric permittivity vs. temperature for three different frequencies for $\text{PbZr}_{0.53}\text{Ti}_{0.47}\text{O}_3$. At $T > 400$ K only data at 1 kHz are shown for clarity. Note the change of slope at ≈ 440 K shown by the broken line, and the two arrows at 300 and 400 K, which correspond to the region of thermal hysteresis reported by Zhang et al.

-
- [1] B. Noheda, D.E. Cox, G. Shirane, J.A. Gonzalo, S-E. Park, L.E. Cross. Appl. Phys. Lett. 74(14), 2059 (1999).
 $\langle \text{http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/cond-mat/9903007} \rangle$
 - [2] G. Shirane and K. Suzuki. J. Phys. Soc. Japan 7, 333 (1952). E. Sawaguchi. J. Phys. Soc. Japan 8, 615 (1953).
 - [3] H. Barnett, J. Appl. Phys. 33, 1606, (1962).
 - [4] C. Michel, J.N. Moreau, G.D. Achenbach, R. Gerson, W.J. James. Solid State Commun., 7, 865 (1969).
 - [5] A. M. Glazer and S. A. Mabud. Acta Cryst. B34, 1060(1978).
 - [6] B. Jaffe, W.R. Cook, and H. Jaffe, Piezoelectric Ceramics, Academic Press, London (1971).
 - [7] P. Ari-Gur and L. Benguigui. Sol. Stat. Commun. 15, 1077 (1974).
 - [8] K. Kakewaga, O. Matsunaga, T. Kato and Y. Sasaki. J. Amer. Ceram. Soc. 78, 1071 (1995).
 - [9] J.C. Fernandes, D.A. Hall, M.R.Cockburn and G.N.Greaves. Nucl. Instrum. Meth. B97, 137 (1995).
 - [10] A. Amin, R.E. Newnham, L. E. Cross, D. E. Cox. J. Solid State Chem. 37, 248 (1981).
 - [11] S. Zhang, X. Dong, S. Kojima. Jpn. J. Appl. Phys. 36, 2994(1997).
 - [12] S. K. Mishra, D. Pandey. Appl. Phys. Lett. 69, 1707 (1996)